



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/465,429	12/21/1999	RICHARD C. BOUCHER JR.	5470-250	8856
20792	7590 08/02/2004		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIG PO BOX 3742	EL SIBLEY & SAJO	VEC	WANG, SHENGJUN	
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1617	

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/465,429	BOUCHER, RICH	R, RICHARD C.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shengjun Wang	1617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel ITHS from the mailing date of this or BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/31/04&4/13/04.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.				
3)□		ce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecuted in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.C.				
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 22-24,31,32,37,44,49 and 51-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 22-24,31,32,37,44,49 and 51-53 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
		Examiner. Note the attached	JOINCE ACTION OF TORM PT	0-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burdsee the attached detailed Office action for a I	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage		
Attachmen	tie)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infoπ	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/465,429

Art Unit: 1617

2)

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of applicants' amendments and remarks submitted March 31, 2004, and Declaration under 37 C.F.R.§ 1.132 by Dr. Boucher, Jr. submitted April 13, 2004 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 22-24,31,32,37,44,49,51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (IDS, 5) in view of Boucher, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent 5,876,700) and Jungherr et al. (U.S. Patent 5,837,266), and in further view of Robison et al. (IDS, October 3, 2003) and Eng et al. (IDS, October 3, 2003).
- Andersons teaches a method of increasing mucocililiary clearance or inducing sputum comprising the step of causing a subject to inhale into subject's airway an osmolyte to increase the osmolarity of airway surface liquid. See, particularly, claims 12-21. The osmolyte may be any substance that is biologically compatible with the subject, preferably mineral salts, and more preferably sodium and potassium salt. See, column 3, lines 12-25, and claims 15 and 16. The technique, i.e., increasing mucociliary clearance and inducing sputum, is known to be useful for subject having cystic fibrosis. See, column 2, lines 14-33. Anderson further suggests the employment of aerosol administration for inhalation. See, particularly, col. 2, lines 14-33 in Anderson et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/465,429 Page 3

Art Unit: 1617

4. Anderson does not teach expressly the employment of the method for treating cystic fibrosis, or administering a sodium channel blocker agent with an osmolyte for treating cystic fibrosis, or employ potassium sulfate as the osmolyte.

5. However, Boucher, Jr. et al. teach a method for hydrating mucous secretions in lungs, or for treating cystic fibrosis comprising administering to the patient by inhalation benzaminil or phenamil, the sodium channel blocker employed herein. See, particularly, the abstract, claims 1-5, 7-10, and 12-16. Jungherr et al. teaches that potassium sulfate is known to be biological compatible with human and is known to be useful in therapeutical composition as osmolyte. See, particularly, column 3, lines 26-33. Further, Eng teaches specifically the usefulness of osmolyte (as hypertonic solution) in mucociliary clearance and in providing treatment of cystic fibrosis. See particularly, the abstract, the introduction and discussion in Eng. Robinsons further teaches the usefulness of hypertonic saline in combination with amiloride, an sodium channel blocking agent See, particularly, the abstract, and the discussion.

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed the invention was made, to treat a subject with cystic fibrosis by administering to the subject a combination of osmolyte, such as potassium sulfate and a sodium channel blocker, such as benzaminil or phenamil.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to treat a subject with cystic fibrosis by administering to the subject a combination of osmolyte, such as potassium sulfate and a sodium channel blocker, such as benzaminil or phenamil because it is prima facie obvious to combine two agents each of which is taught in the prior art to be useful for same purpose in order to form third composition that is to be used for very the same purpose; idea of

combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in prior art; thus, the claimed invention which is drawn to a method for treating cystic fibrosis employing a combination of two agents, each of them is known to be useful for treating cystic fibrosis, sets forth prima facie obvious subject matter. See In re Kerkhoven, 205 USPQ 1069. The combination herein is obvious also because of the fact that hypertonic solution is known to provide benefit for treating cystic fibrosis when combined with other known anti-cystic fibrosis agent. The employment of potassium sulfate is seen to be a selection from amongst equally suitable potassium salts, particularly because potassium sulfate is known to be biological compatible to human and is known to be used as osmolyte in the rapeutical composition. Exparte Winters 11 USPQ 2nd 1387 (at 1388).

Page 4

Response to the Arguments

Applicants' remarks and declaration submitted March 31, 2004 and April 13, 2004 have been fully considered, but are not persuasive for reasons discussed below.

Applicants allege the claimed invention are patentable based on an unexpected results shown by the declaration. Note the claims must be commensurate in the scope with any evidence of unexpected results. See MPEP 716.02 (d). In the instant case, the claims do not commensurate in the scope with the evidence of unexpected results shown in the declaration. Particularly, the declaration shown that co-application of a hypersonic potassium sulfate solution with a sodium channel blocker to a particular cell, i.e., cystic fibrosis bronchial epithelia, unexpectedly increase the penetration of the sodium channel blocker into the cells. However, as applicant admitted in the declaration, the airway epithelia in health and disease are poorly understood in the art. There

Application/Control Number: 09/465,429 Page 5

Art Unit: 1617

is no obvious reasons or expectation that the unexpected benefit would be extrapolated to any

other salts or other airway epithelia.

Allowable Subject Matter

Based on the unexpected benefit presented in the declaration, following subject matter

would be allowable:

A method of administering a sodium channel blocker to an airway surface of a subject in

need thereof, comprising administering the sodium channel blocker in an effective therapeutic

amount in a vehicle, said vehicle comprising potassium sulfate as an ionic osmolyte, said

potassium sulfate included in an amount effective to increase the volume of liquid on the airway

surface, wherein said subject is afflicted with cystic fibrosis.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-

0632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571)272-0629. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Shengjun

July 28, 2004